

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 MICHAEL J. HANNON,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 NORTHEAST CREDIT & COLLECTIONS,
8 et al.,

9 Defendants.

Case No. 2:16-cv-1814-APG-VCF

**ORDER REGARDING MOTIONS TO
SEAL**

(ECF Nos. 61, 72)

10
11 Plaintiff Michael Hannon has filed a motion to seal certain exhibits attached to his motion
12 for summary judgment. ECF No. 61. Defendant Experian responded that Exhibits 9 through 13,
13 15, and 20 should remain filed completely under seal, and that the unredacted version of Exhibit 2
14 remain filed under seal, with only the redacted version in the public record. ECF No. 70. Good
15 cause supports Experian's request, so only those exhibits will remain under seal. However, Hannon
16 must ensure that his non-sealed filing (ECF No. 62) redacts only those exhibits and portions thereof
17 approved in this order. Hannon shall file a revised version of that document if necessary to comply
18 with this order.

19 Plaintiff Hannon also filed a motion to seal certain exhibits attached to his response to
20 Experian's motion for summary judgment. ECF No. 72. Defendant Experian has not responded to
21 that motion, and Hannon has not identified which exhibits should remain under seal. Accordingly,
22 I cannot grant that motion at this time.

23 I will allow Hannon's sealed response (ECF No. 74) to remain sealed temporarily so the
24 parties may confer about what, if any, portions of the exhibits should be sealed. If either party
25 determines that some of the exhibits should remain sealed, that party must file a motion to seal
26 along with a proposed redacted version of the exhibits within 14 days of the date of this order. Any
27 motion to seal must set forth compelling reasons to support sealing those portions.
28

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Hannon's motion to seal (ECF No. 61) is **GRANTED**
2 **IN PART** as set forth above. The sealed motion (ECF No. 67) shall remain sealed pending further
3 order of the court. Hannon shall ensure that his non-sealed, redacted filing (ECF No. 62) redacts
4 only those exhibits and portions thereof approved in this order. Hannon shall file a revised version
5 of that document if necessary to comply with this order.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Hannon's motion to seal (ECF No. 72) is **DENIED**
7 **WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. The parties shall confer about what, if any, portions of the exhibits
8 attached to Hannon's response to the motion for summary judgment (ECF Nos. 73, 74) should
9 remain sealed. If either party determines that some of the exhibits should remain sealed, that party
10 must file a motion to seal along with a proposed redacted version of the exhibits within 14 days of
11 the date of this order. Any motion to seal must set forth compelling reasons to support sealing
12 those portions.

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a motion to seal regarding ECF Nos. 73 and 74 is not
14 filed within 14 days of the date of this order, Hannon's response (ECF No. 74) will be unsealed.

15 Dated: July 12, 2017.



16 ANDREW P. GORDON
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28